May 21, 2012

Cory Booker
Mayor, City of Newark
City Hall, Room 200
920 Broad Street
Newark, NJ 07102

Dear Mayor Booker,

Commercial Alert is a project of Public Citizen, a consumer protection organization based in Washington, D.C., with more than 300,000 members and supporters. We aim to keep commercial culture within its proper sphere, and to prevent it from exploiting children and subverting higher values of family, community, environmental integrity and democracy.

We understand that the city of Newark’s “Let’s Move! Newark” program to address childhood obesity has recently entered into a partnership with Nestlé, which is contributing $100,000 to the initiative. We are writing to urge you to reverse the decision to partner with Nestlé. By partnering with Nestlé, the city is undermining its own efforts to reduce childhood obesity, and instead providing a venue for Nestlé to market products that have been shown to lead to increased obesity. Childhood obesity is a serious matter that warrants considerable attention from public entities. We commend your commitment to addressing this issue. However, public officials must ensure that programs intended to combat childhood obesity are not co-opted by corporations seeking to profit from this health crisis.

Nestlé is the world largest producer of infant formula, a product that it markets with disregard for the WHO Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes, both in the United States and in the developing world.\(^1\) By aggressively marketing infant formula to mothers and families, Nestlé undermines breastfeeding. In her recent “Call to Action to Support Breastfeeding,” the Surgeon General emphasized that marketing of infant formula has a negative influence on breastfeeding.\(^2\) Whatever its other motivations, Nestlé’s involvement with Newark’s program to reduce obesity is clearly a marketing effort. Your announcement of the city’s partnership with Nestlé took place with Nestlé and Gerber logos prominently displayed in the background. Nestlé will likely use this program to display its logo and generate further brand recognition whenever possible.
It is not just the presence of Nestlé’s logo and name that is of concern, however. Rather, Nestlé’s involvement in the development of a program “on the basics of improving the diets of young children, including infants, toddlers and preschoolers” is worrisome because its advice will likely reflect its interest in selling its products. Nestlé’s infant formula sales depend on women choosing not to breastfeed their babies. The company’s ability to effectively “highlight the importance of breastfeeding” is highly questionable.

Not breastfeeding has serious consequences for the health of both mothers and babies. Among the many risks for infants of formula feeding, rather than breastfeeding, is an increased risk of childhood obesity. One study found that breastfed children are 22 percent less likely than those who are not to be obese. In addition, children that are not breastfed have an increased risk of acute otitis media, non-specific gastroenteritis, severe lower respiratory tract infections, atopic dermatitis, asthma, type 1 and 2 diabetes, childhood leukemia, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), and necrotizing enterocolitis. For mothers, not breastfeeding leads to increased morbidity and mortality over those who do, including reduced risk of type 2 diabetes, breast cancer, obesity, ovarian cancer, post-partum depression, and bladder infections.

In a city that faces high rates of poverty, the economic effects of not breastfeeding are an important consideration. Formula feeding costs between $800 and $2800 per year. Breastfeeding saves families the cost of formula, as well as potential healthcare costs for infants that do not reap the health benefits of breast milk. Not breastfeeding also comes at a high cost to the U.S. economy as a whole: one study found that if 90 percent of families in the United States breastfed babies exclusively for six months, savings could amount to $13 billion.

Nestlé of course also manufactures a variety of unhealthful products, including Wonka candy and Butterfinger, Crunch and Baby Ruth chocolate bars. While Nestlé has scaled back its marketing directly to children following intense pressure from public health groups, there is a considerable risk that it will use its role as a “nutrition expert” in the Newark program to unduly influence families to purchase its products and to offset criticism over any troubling aspects of its candy and other junk food.

The childhood obesity epidemic in Newark and throughout the United States requires a serious public response. We encourage you to continue your important work on this issue. However, we strongly urge you to reconsider allowing Nestlé to commercialize anti-obesity programs in Newark, potentially undermining efforts to promote both breastfeeding and healthy eating. We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Robert Weissman            Elizabeth Ben-Ishai
President                  Campaign Coordinator
Public Citizen             Public Citizen’s Commercial Alert


5 Calculations based on prices of store brand and name brand formulas at Walgreens.com in November 2011 and average consumption of 30 oz. of formula per day.