



1600 20th Street, NW • Washington, D.C. 20009 • 202/588-1000 • www.citizen.org

Testimony at the FDA's public meeting on Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) Reauthorization

Michael T. Abrams, M.P.H., Ph.D.

September 16, 2026

I'm Michael Abrams, Senior Health Researcher with Public Citizen. I have no financial conflicts of interest related to PDUFA.

The draft commitment letter, like previous ones, does not address many patient and consumer concerns. The absence of public-health-oriented measures remains a critical deficiency. Here is a short list of measures that should be added to the commitment letter:

For each newly approved medication, including supplemental approvals, FDA performance measures should include consideration of:

- The number of clinical trials reviewed, and how many were positive and how many were not
 - Timely posting of all trials on clinicaltrials.gov
 - A record of the time to posting of review documents at Drugs@FDA (after approval, in days)
- A flag (or flags) indicating whether the trial participants were sufficiently representative of the U.S. population for age, race, and gender
- A flag indicating whether the approval decision was unanimous among: FDA scientists, FDA leadership, and external advisors

For medications approved in the most recent year or in prior years:

- Information on outcomes, including withdrawals, recalls, warnings, missed PMR (post-marketing report) deadlines, fraudulent marketing, and other adverse events

The FDA should facilitate independent evaluation of all these data to grade the agency's performance in regulating prescription drugs.

Although these are reasonable asks of the FDA's drug approval program, such performance measures have been mostly ignored during the latest user-fee negotiations and in the draft commitment letter. Adjustments to the "commitments" might help

address ongoing concerns about “industry capture” of the FDA and other deficiencies that harm prescribers and consumers.

Thank you.

References

Aaron DG, Boyden G. Reimagining FDA's corporate funding: pathways to reform or abolition of user fees. September 3, 2026. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=7398281. Accessed September 13, 2026.

Abrams MT. Comments to the FDA about the eighth reauthorization of the prescription drug user fee act. August 14, 2025. <https://www.citizen.org/article/comments-to-the-fda-about-the-eighth-reauthorization-of-the-prescription-drug-user-fee-act/>. Accessed September 13, 2026.

Ahn-Horst RY, Turner EH, Kesselheim AS. Characteristics of trials preceding FDA approval of novel psychiatric drugs. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2025;8(1):e2456588.

Ulrich I, Lurie PG. Toward greater transparency at FDA. December 2025. Centers for Science in the Public Interest. <https://www.cspi.org/sites/default/files/2026-03/CSPI%20Transparency%20Report.pdf>. Accessed September 13, 2026.

Turner EH, Powers JH 3rd, Ahn-Horst RY, Kesselheim AS. Assessing drug efficacy after multiple negative trials— gepirone's journey through the FDA. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2026;83(8):864-869.

Ziaks TJ, Koda A, Ramachandran R, et al. Characterizing public participation in Prescription Drug User Fee Act reauthorization, 2010-2021. *Health Aff Sch*. 2026 Jul 4;4(7):qxag169.