President Bush Dis-Torts the Truth About Lawsuits’ Impact on Health Care and the Economy

President Bush argues that weakening our legal system will strengthen the economy and improve access to health care. But his understanding of economics is faulty, and his factual assertions are incorrect. The quotes below are typical of statements made regularly by President Bush in campaign speeches. Each quote is followed by the true facts, as determined by government agencies or other authorities.


  **The facts:** Doctors are not leaving states with high malpractice insurance premiums. Only two months before he made that comment, the *Allentown Morning Call* reported that the number of doctors in Pennsylvania had increased during the malpractice “crisis.”¹ The previous summer, the General Accounting Office reported that the volume of medical care delivered in Pennsylvania had increased during the crisis.² Statistics from state medical boards in every other so-called “crisis” state show the same—no doctors are being driven from practice.³

- **“All these junk lawsuits are running up the cost of medicine.”** President Bush in Greensboro, NC, July 25, 2002; [http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/07/20020725-5.html](http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/07/20020725-5.html)

  **The facts:** Malpractice costs are less than one percent of the nation’s health care expenditures. According to Department of Health and Human Services actuaries’ most recent report on growth in health care expenditures, in 2002 health care expenditures rose 9.3% to $1.553 trillion.⁴ Expenditures on malpractice premiums reported to National Association of Insurance Commissioners that year were $9.6 billion,⁵ making malpractice costs about .0062 of national health care expenditures. Malpractice costs rated only an eleven-word mention in the actuaries’ 13-page report.


  **The facts:** Independent researchers reject the “defensive medicine” theory. The only study ever attaching a price tag to defensive medicine was one conducted by the Bush Administration’s own Mark McClellan.⁶ No other independent researcher has been able to replicate his findings. The existence of defensive medicine is crucial to the Bush Administration’s claim of high tort costs because the cost of malpractice insurance is relatively minor. Using McClellan’s article to
project $25 billion in “defensive medicine” costs allows Bush to attach an artificially-inflated legal cost to the federal budget. But both the General Accounting Office\(^7\) and Congressional Budget Office\(^8\) have rejected such cost estimates.

- “Too many frivolous lawsuits… are being filed against doctors” Madison, MS August 7, 2002; http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/08/20020807-1.html

**The facts:** So-called “frivolous” suits have little impact on health care costs. Doctors define as “frivolous” any lawsuit in which no payment is made to the victim. But they fail to mention to that nearly all of those claims are withdrawn voluntarily by patients and their lawyers,\(^9\) after thoroughly investigating the cause of the injury, usually at great expense to the lawyer. Cases that are taken to trial and rejected by a jury constitute only five percent of all claims.\(^10\) Only about 12 percent of malpractice premium dollars are spent defending claims that are closed without payment.\(^11\) If attorneys *never* filed an unsuccessful suit, the savings would constitute less than one-tenth of one percent of national health expenditures.


**The facts:** A lawsuit can’t wipe out a business, unless the business depends upon unsafe or illegal activities to make a profit. Sometimes a business that is capable of earning a profit through lawful means will try to earn extra income by cutting corners. If court judgments awarded to those harmed by the unsafe or illegal practices exceed the value of the business (i.e., its plants, equipment, customer relationships, etc.) the company could be liquidated; but the plants and equipment are not scrapped, nor are innocent employees fired. For instance, Johns-Manville continues to manufacture non-asbestos insulation; its bankruptcy simply led to much of its stock being held in trust for people injured by asbestos.


**The facts:** The $200 billion “lawsuit burden” figure has been repudiated by the Congressional Budget Office. The number was reached by adding up the total cost of liability insurance purchased in the United States, including administrative costs. But these costs would not disappear if there were no tort system. The costs of liability insurance represent the costs of injuries that would take place with or without a tort system, such as the estimated $230 billion annual cost of automobile crashes.\(^12\) $174 billion of those costs are covered by auto insurance. $93.4 billion of that is liability insurance and is thus included in the $200 billion figure, while $80.3 billion is first-party insurance that is not paid through the tort system. If that $93.4 billion in liability insurance were replaced by first-party, no-fault insurance, the arbitrarily-defined “litigation burden” would drop by 40 percent, but the actual cost of automobile insurance Americans pay would remain the same, as would the overall cost of automobile injuries. The non-partisan CBO called the number “too large.” It explained that most of the payments “merely shift money from injurers to victims and thus are not true costs to society as a whole. In economic terms, payments that do not involve any use of resources to produce goods or services...
are called ‘transfer payments.’ Those that do involve using resources for production are known as ‘real resource costs’ (also ‘social costs’ or simply ‘costs’). Specifically, the portion of a settlement or judgment that goes to the plaintiffs is a transfer payment.”


The facts: Tort lawsuit filings have decreased since 1992, according to the Court Statistics Project. The period 1992 through 2001 saw an overall 9 percent decline in the number of tort filings, according to a joint tracking project of the Conference of State Court Administrators, the Bureau of Justice Statistics and National Center for State Courts. The filing data from 30 states in their sample, including three of the four most populous states, California, Texas and Florida, represents a total of 77 percent of the U.S. population. When adjusted for population growth, tort filings declined by 15 percent, from 269 to 228 per 100,000. Population adjusted filings dropped 25 percent or more in 11 of these states. The largest decreases occurred in Texas and Massachusetts, where tort filings fell by 41 percent.


The facts: The median jury award for personal injury cases fell 30 percent in 2002. The median jury verdict in personal injury cases peaked in 2000 at $45,000 declined to $42,945 in 2001 and dropped to $30,000 in 2002. Overall this represents a decline of 33 percent in two years.
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